

THE NORTH AFRICAN BOOM
EVALUATING ECONOMIC GROWTH IN THE
ROMAN PROVINCE OF *AFRICA PROCONSULARIS*
(146 B.C. – A.D. 439)

by
Matthew S. Hobson

PORTSMOUTH, RHODE ISLAND
2015

JOURNAL OF ROMAN ARCHAEOLOGY®

JRA® SUPPLEMENTARY SERIES NUMBER 100

General Editor: J. H. Humphrey
Layout: C. Corey and T. Madden

ISBN-13: 978-0-9913730-4-8

ISSN 1063-4304 (for the supplementary series)

Copyright © 2015 Journal of Roman Archaeology, L.L.C.

Printed by Thomson-Shore, Dexter, Michigan

JRA® and Journal of Roman Archaeology® are registered trademarks of Journal of Roman Archaeology, L.L.C.

This and other supplements to the *Journal of Roman Archaeology* may be ordered from:

JRA, 95 Peleg Road, Portsmouth, RI 02871, U.S.A.

Telephone (+USA) 401 683 1955

telefax (+USA) 401 683 1975 e-mail: jra@JournalofRomanArch.com

Web site: JournalofRomanArch.com

Permission to copy may be obtained only direct from *JRA*, by e-mail, letter, fax or phone.

Kindly note that the Copyright Clearance Center (USA), the Copyright Licensing Agency (UK), and other national
Reproduction Rights Organizations are not permitted to authorize copying or to collect fees for doing so.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	9
The aim of this study	9
“African boom?”	9
Roman imperialism and the agricultural economy of N Africa: the theoretical background	13
Postcolonialism, development economics and post-development theory	13
The destruction of Carthage, the modernisers, and the origin of the debate	17
The ‘Cambridge orthodoxy’ on the Roman economy	20
The Italian Marxist school and the slave mode of production	22
The new paradigm: from stagnation to growth	25
The geography and climate of Roman N Africa	29
Conclusion	33
2. ROMAN COLONIALISM: THE ACCUMULATION OF LAND AND THE FORMATION OF GREAT ESTATES	35
The fate of Carthaginian territory (146-46 B.C.): <i>Africa Vetus</i>	35
Centuriation	36
The <i>Lex agraria</i> of 111 B.C.	39
Growing fat off the land	42
Demography and colonisation	44
Conclusion	48
Expansion after 46 B.C.: the birth of <i>Africa Proconsularis</i>	49
Civic promotions	52
The great agrarian inscriptions and imperial estates	54
The <i>Lex Manciana</i>	57
Interpretation of the inscriptions	58
Conclusion	61
3. OLIVE OIL AND WINE PRODUCTION IN <i>AFRICA PROCONSULARIS</i> : THE EVIDENCE FROM SURVEY	63
Olive-press elements	64
Counterweights	64
Press-beds	66
Orthostats	67
Anchor-stones	67
Milling equipment	68
Wine-press elements	70
Tunisia	73
Processing capacity	76
Regional analysis	80
The Sahel	83
Central Tunisia	85
The “oilery” sites	89
Kalaat Es Senam 059, Thala 067 and Ksar Tlili 068	90
Northern Tunisia	91
The Tripolitanian region of Tunisia: Gabès, Kettana, Mareth and the Isle of Jerba	95
Libya	95
The Djebel and coastal plain	96
The Pre-desert	99
Conclusions	99

4. N AFRICAN CERAMICS: PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION	103
Production: ceramic typology and kiln sites	105
Tunisian amphoras and coarse wares	105
Contents of amphoras	112
Oil-carrying amphoras	113
<i>Salsamenta-</i> or <i>garum</i> -carrying amphoras	114
Wine-carrying amphoras	115
Keay 25	115
African Red Slip ware	117
Tripolitanian kilns	119
The coastal plain	120
The Djebel Tarhuna	121
Characterising the boom: the distribution of exported N African products	123
Exports to Rome	124
Monte Testaccio	124
Ostia	126
Portus	127
Rome	128
Discussion	131
Trade with other parts of the Mediterranean	131
Shipwrecks carrying N African cargoes	133
Imports to Africa	138
Conclusions	140
5. A SOCIALLY EMBEDDED ECONOMY	143
Agricultural development and N African exports	145
Modes of economic integration	149
The formation of the wealthier classes	153
The lower orders	157
General conclusion	160
BIBLIOGRAPHY	163

Acknowledgements

My approach in this work owes much to several periods of archaeological apprenticeship, first as a student and volunteer excavator, then working in commercial archaeology, and finally as a researcher working within a university system. If I were forced to pick a moment when the seeds that eventually grew into this project were sown in my mind, it would be a session of the Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference held in London in the spring of 2007. As a still reasonably young M.A. student, I was attending with David Mattingly, my course tutor for the year. We had come to listen to a series of papers dealing with the influence of current socio-political matters on Roman archaeology. Neil Faulkner, who had been my mentor at the research excavations conducted by the Sedgeford Historical and Archaeological Research Project (SHARP) where I had volunteered and been a team member since 1999, was there to give a paper entitled “Roman archaeology in an epoch of neoliberalism and imperialist war”, in which he specifically questioned the methodology and underlying assumptions of recent work. At that time I was ill-equipped to appreciate fully all the nuances of the fascinating and heated debate which ensued at the end of the session, but it was that moment, probably more than any other, that confirmed my interest in the broad themes of imperialism and economy and the historiography of their study in the context of the Roman world. By now, more than seven years later, I have had time to reflect on these issues and explore them in relation to the data from Roman N Africa. Though neither would wholly accept the interpretation presented here of the events which took place during the Roman rule of N Africa, it is to both these gentlemen that I owe a profound debt of gratitude for provoking my sustained interest in this topic and influencing the way in which I have approached it. David Mattingly indeed has been an endless source of enthusiasm and encouragement at every stage of the endeavour, and as my supervisor a consistent source of inspiration and sound advice.

I am most grateful to the University of Leicester for providing me with the funding for the doctoral research in the form of a 50th Anniversary Scholarship, and to David Mattingly and the then Head of School, Colin Haselgrove, for lending strong support to my application. For my initial decision to come to Leicester to undertake an M.A. course in 2006, I thank John Creighton who showed a belief in me early on while I was an undergraduate student at the University of Reading. At Leicester I thank all my peers and mentors within the School of Archaeology and Ancient History who provided such a positive and stimulating environment in which to work and test ideas. I offer the same sentiments of gratitude to my present colleagues at the University of Leiden, particularly those with whom I work on the *Empire of 2000 Cities* project, researching the process of municipalisation and urbanisation across the empire. The opportunity to teach on the subjects of the Roman economy and Roman N Africa, and to receive responses from students to some of the ideas contained in this work, has been invaluable. For the idea of approaching John Humphrey about the possibility of publishing the work with *JRA* I must thank Luuk De Ligt, who has also provided much clarification on the subject of the fragmentary *Lex agraria* of 111 B.C.

I also thank the many participants of SHARP who for almost two decades have provided a fine atmosphere within which to share opinions and conduct archaeological research. I owe a debt of gratitude to Neil for offering intellectually challenging ideas season after season and encouraging ‘bottom-up’ interpretation in the trenches. Without his commitment to this approach I may never have had such a positive first experience of excavation, which in turn led to the decision to study archaeology and ancient history at university. I also thank Gareth Davies, Mark Dodd and John Boothroyd for their long-term friendship and boundless enthusiasm for excavation, for immensely enjoyable discussions in the pub, and for the shared process of maturing as young field archaeologists. I also have to thank all my excavating colleagues who taught me so much about stratigraphy and excavation strategy in the context of commercial archaeology between 2003 and 2008. They taught me how to apply a rigorous methodology without forgetting to have fun at the same time. Amongst many, in particular I offer heartfelt thanks to Ian Price, Patrick Daniel, Fred Garrett, Mark Ward, Wayne Livesey, Michael McDaid, Stuart Calow and Gerard Martin. Thanks must also go to

all those with whom I have worked on other excavations in Britain and abroad, most recently the archaeologists, geographers, geologists and anthropologists of the Desert Migrations Project in Fazzan, Libya, and of the Tunisian-British Utica Project, amongst whom in particular I thank Nick Ray, Denis Sami, Julia Nikolaus, Farès Moussa, Muftah Ahmed, Hafed Abdouli and Corisande Fenwick for their companionship in the field and friendship as fellow Ph.D. students.

My research visits to the library housed in the Maison Méditerranéenne des Sciences de l'Homme (MMSH), Aix-en-Provence, were turned into a hugely welcoming experience by Véronique Blanc-Bijon, Michel Bonifay, André Tchernia, Pol Troussset, Corinne Rencural, Marie-France Giacobbi-Lequément, Walid Ben Akacha, Elyssa Jerray and Anna Paul. I am most grateful to everyone there who showed an interest in my research and who helped me find what I needed in an environment that was at first strange to me.

I am endlessly indebted to my mother and my close friend from my school days, Gareth Carrol, both of whom proofread the original dissertation. Martin Sterry and Chris Green gave me invaluable help in coming to grips with Geographical Information Systems at the outset. I am grateful to Jean-Pierre Brun and Andrew Wilson for their insightful comments on a talk I gave at All Souls College, Oxford, in July 2011, which contained much of the information from the present chaps. 3 and 4. David Edwards (University of Leicester) made many extremely helpful comments on one of my final drafts, saving me from a number of errors. Once again, I must thank my supervisor David Mattingly for never failing to read draft chapters or comment on them with great acumen and diligence, for pushing me to elaborate further on difficult issues, and for reining me in on one or two of my wilder fancies. As examiners of the original thesis, both Michael Fulford and Andrew Merrills offered most constructive criticism and have subsequently offered encouragement to work towards publication. I also owe a profound debt of gratitude to John Humphrey and the anonymous peer reviewers who took time to offer comments, which helped to ensure that the work was improved in a number of key areas. All remaining errors and inconsistencies, of course, are mine alone.

Finally, I thank my mother, father and sister for being a constant source of encouragement and support over the years. Although at the age of 7 or 8 I was suspicious that they were not taking seriously enough my ambition to become either a train driver or a fire-eater, I owe great thanks to them for allowing and encouraging me to pursue and develop my interests in whatever direction I desired. The result has been finding a subject and an occupation that I continue to love.

Leiden, March 2, 2015